

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/842,048	04/26/2001	Yao-Hong Tsai	06720.0066	6515		
22852 75	22852 7590 05/03/2004			EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			LAROSE, COLIN M			
LLP 1300 I STREET	, NW	· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2623	6		
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004	۴		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/842,0	09/842,048 TSAI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Colin M.	LaRose	2623				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence add	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC missions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply w reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no entireation. days, a reply within the structory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the approximation.	event, however, may a reply atutory minimum of thirty (30 will expire SIX (6) MONTHS oplication to become ABANI	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this coponED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from c						
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) ☐ accepted or b tion to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. ired if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 2. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have be locuments have be f the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Appl nents have been rec ule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National S	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infon	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> .		Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 3 should depend from claim 2 rather than claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 8, 11, 15, 18, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,642,431 by Poggio et al. ("Poggio").

Regarding claim 1, Poggio discloses a method for illuminant compensation of an image including a face region comprising:

determining a surface fitting based on the face region fro the image (column 9, lines 34-54 and 404, figure 4: best-fit brightness plane is determined or otherwise obtained);

generating an illuminant corrected image using the surface fitting face region for the image (column 9, lines 34-54: surface fitting is subtracted from the face image to produce illuminant corrected image); and

normalizing the image (column 9, lines 34-54 and 405, figure 4: image is normalized via histogram equalization).

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding claims 8, 15, and 22, Poggio expressly discloses all of the features of these claims, which directly correspond to the method recited in claim 1.

Regarding claims 4, 11, and 18, Poggio teaches subtracting the surface fitting from the image as claimed (column 9, lines 48-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 2, 3, 9, 10, 16, 17, and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poggio in view of U.S. Patent 6,148,092 by Qian.

Regarding claims 2, 9, 16, and 23-26 Poggio discloses that the image includes pixels, and the step of determining the surface fitting includes:

Art Unit: 2623

obtaining a window (19x19) of pixels that includes a face; and

masking out portions of the window that do not belong to the face so that the surface fitting is performed only on the face region (column 9, lines 36-44).

Poggio's rationale for masking out the background areas is that the background areas are not relevant to the face detection task.

Thus, Poggio teaches, "determining a surface fitting for the image, the surface fitting is determined using only the pixels that were determined to be part of the face region."

However, Poggio is silent to extracting the face from other regions, such as the background, by "determining for each pixel whether the pixel's color is within a predetermined set of colors; and determining the pixel to be part of the face region if it is determined that the pixel's color is within the predetermined set of colors."

Qian discloses a method for extracting a face region that comprises detecting skin tones and segmenting a face from background regions based on the detected skin tones. In particular, Qian teaches detecting a face in an image comprised of a plurality of colors pixels by:

determining for each pixel whether the pixel's color is within a predetermined set of colors (i.e. whether each pixel is within the circle in figure 3); and

determining the pixel to be part of the face region if it is determined that the pixel's color is within the predetermined set of colors (i.e. the pixels that are within the circle are assigned a "1" and all other pixels are assigned "0", as shown in figure 5). See also column 4, lines 41-60.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Poggio by Qian to achieve the claimed invention by extracting the face region according to skin tone, since Qian teaches that detecting face regions in this manner is

Art Unit: 2623

advantageous because, *inter alia*, it is insensitive to changes in lighting conditions (column 2, lines 30-35).

Regarding claims 3, 10, and 17, Qian teaches determining if the pixel's color is a skin color (see abstract).

7. Claims 5, 6, 12, 13, 19, 20, 27, 28, 30, 31, 33, 34, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poggio in view of U.S. Patent 4,975,970 by Zettel et al. ("Zettel").

Regarding claims 5, 12, 19, 27, 30, 33, and 36, Poggio teaches normalizing the image by histogram equalization methods, but is silent to the particular claimed features for normalization.

Zettel discloses a process (figure 4) for histogram equalization of an image, wherein an image is automatically normalized according to a user's preferences. In particular, Zettel discloses

computing the average gray level and standard deviation for a plurality of pixels in the image (31, figure 4), and

transforming the level of each pixel based on a scale factor derived from the mean and standard deviation (35, figure 4), wherein the gray levels are between a predetermined range (figure 3: V_{min} ... V_{max} is the predetermined range of possible pixel values).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Poggio by Zettel to achieve the claimed invention since Poggio discloses normalization of the face image via histogram equalization, and Zettel teaches that performing

Application/Control Number: 09/842,048 Page 6

Art Unit: 2623

histogram equalization as claimed allows a user to specify a preference for image brightness and contrast in order to produce a visually preferred image (column 3, lines 54-60).

Regarding claims 6, 13, 20, 28, 31, and 34, Poggio discloses masking out background pixels and retaining only the pixel corresponding to the face, thereby determining whether each pixel is part of the face region (column 9, lines 35-44). This causes Zettel's histogram equalization process (including the mean and standard deviation computations) to be performed on only pixels that comprise the face region.

8. Claims 7, 14, 21, 29, 32, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poggio in view of Zettel, and further in view of Qian.

Regarding claims 7, 14, 21, 29, 32, and 35, Poggio does not disclose determining pixels to be part of the face region based on the pixel being within a predetermined set of colors. However, this limitation would have been obvious in view of Qian, as established above for claims 2, 9, and 16.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent 6,445,812 by Lai et al. discloses a similar illumination compensation system in the context of industrial inspection

Art Unit: 2623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colin M. LaRose whose telephone number is (703) 306-3489. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au, can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

AMELIA MAAU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Page 7

CML

Group Art Unit 2623

22 April 2004